

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/921,448	08/02/2001	Edward Moore	1468-002	6114	
7.	590 10/15/2002				
Gabriel P. Ka	Gabriel P. Katona Goodwin Procter L.L.P. 599 Lexington Avenue, 40th Floor			EXAMINER	
599 Lexington				EDELL, JOSEPH F	
New York, NY	10022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3636		

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
¥		09/921,448 MOORE, EDWARD	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Joseph F Edell	3636
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from . cause the application to become ABANDONF	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. 6 133)
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 F</u>	<u> August 2001</u> .	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.	
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowations of claims	ance except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 153 O.G. 213.
4)🖾	Claim(s) $1-28$ is/are pending in the application	i.	
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.	
Application	on Papers		
9) 🔲 7	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.	
10)□ 1	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b)□ objected to by the Exar	miner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)□ T	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.	
:	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ŭ
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•	
a) 15)∐ A	☐ The translation of the foreign language proveknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been rece	eived.
Attachment(o 🗖	
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)
. Patent and Tra ΓΟ-326 (Rev		ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 6

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-24, drawn to a chair, classified in class 297, subclass 240.
- II. Claims 25-28, drawn to a leveler, classified in class 248.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions a chair and a leveler are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the chair leveler need not have threaded male-female members. The subcombination has separate utility such as for an operating table that is rotatable and vertically positionable.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Katona on 08 October 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph F. Edell whose telephone number is (703) 605-1216. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:30am-5:00pm.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

October 10, 2002

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 3600